

To: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;"Laura Fujii"
[Fujii.Laura@epamail.epa.gov]; Laura Fujii" [Fujii.Laura@epamail.epa.gov]
From: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Thur 3/4/2010 5:12:40 PM
Subject: Fw: Marc Ebbin

Fyi
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jewell, Michael S SPK" [Michael.S.Jewell@usace.army.mil]
Sent: 03/04/2010 09:04 AM PST
To: "Nawi, David" <David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov>
Cc: "Barajas, Federico" <FBarajas@usbr.gov>; Tom Hagler; "Castleberry, Dan"
<dan_castleberry@fws.gov>; "Maria Rea" <Maria.Rea@noaa.gov>; Karen Schwinn; "Nepstad, Michael G
SPK" <Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil>
Subject: RE: Marc Ebbin

Thanks, David. From the Corps' perspective, we'd prefer to see one purpose statement now rather than deal with it as a separate matter sometime in the future. It can make things messy later especially if, for 404(b)(1) requirements, we need to explore more/different alternatives than those presented as the reasonable range in the EIS. It also delays resolving concerns raised by EPA.

We'll contact Mark Ebbin today to explore the issue further. Ultimately the lead districts will need to make the decision how to handle purpose.

Michael S Jewell
Chief, Regulatory Division
US Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District
1325 J Street, Room 1480
Sacramento, California 95814
O:(916) 557-6605 F:(916) 557-6877
michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil

Want to let us know how we're doing?
<http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html>

Need information on the Regulatory Program?
<http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/index.html>

-----Original Message-----

From: Nawi, David [mailto:David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 2:24 PM
To: Jewell, Michael S SPK
Cc: Barajas, Federico; Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov; Castleberry, Dan; Maria Rea; Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Marc Ebbin

Mike - I just spoke to Marc Ebbin. He thinks that the BDCP EIS and the Corps 404(b)(1) analysis should be two separate documents and that the EIS P+N statement should be crafted to meet just NEPA requirements, not CWA as well. I gather that he has not spoken with you about his view, which is very different from yours as you set it out this morning. You should anticipate a call from Marc. He indicated that he may raise this with Rock Salt next week,

Please let me or the others on this message know what we can do to help.

David